

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/090,693	03/04/2002	James H. Obermeyer	34044-3	8492
7590 10/27/2003			EXAMINER	
Charles J. Meyer, Esq.			GORDON, STEPHEN T	
Woodard, Emhardt, Naughton, Moriarty and McNett Bank One Center/Tower 111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700 Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				PAPER NUMBER
			3612	
			DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/090,693	OBERMEYER, JAMES H.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen Gordon	3612			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum-statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. JED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08:	September 2003 .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is non-final.	,			
3) 🗌 Dispositi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-11,17,18 and 24-35 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) <u>1-11,17,18 and 24-35</u> are subject to r on Papers	restriction and/or election require	ement.			
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)☐ objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.				
12) 🔲 🗀	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	ition No			
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·				
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro					
15) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
Attachment						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informa	rry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Tr PTOL-326 (Ro		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 6			

Application/Control Number: 10/090,693 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election submitted 9/8/03 (paper no. 5) is noted. Applicant's amendments of this paper, however, introduce a new group of claims which warrant further restriction.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, 17-18, and 24-30, drawn to a combination dump trailer, classified in class 298, subclass 17R+.
 - II. Newly added claims 31-35, drawn to a method of a method of unloading a vehicle, classified in class 414, subclass 809.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 3. Inventions II and I (claims 1+) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one not requiring at least a hinge arm.
- 4. Inventions II and I (claims 17+) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,693

Art Unit: 3612

(MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one not requiring at least a hinge arm.

- acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
 - 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
 - 7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: figure 4 vs figure 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/090,693

Art Unit: 3612

Page 4

elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. Due to the complexity of the above restriction/election, the requirement is being

submitted to applicant in written form to allow ample time to address the issues raised.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stephen Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 308-

2556.

Stephen Gordon

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

stg